Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/044,060	MURPHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrence R. Till	1744
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Terrence R. Till</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. Moldovanyi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	]Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 102		
Claims discussed: 14, 18, 46, 48		
Prior art documents discussed: 6,436,160 (Stephens et al.), 6,070,291 (Bair et al.), 6,5	78,230 (Park et al.)	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAŚ DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a so directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a so did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 3/29/04, the examiner phoned applicant's representative to inform him that claim 14, as well as some dependent claims could be rejected over the patents mentioned above. Applicant's representative asked that he be given the opportunity to draft a proposed amendment to overcome the prior art. On 4/5/04 the examiner said that the proprosed amendment, if made into a formal amendment, would put the application into condition for allowace. The formal amendment was transmitted on 4/5/2004...